

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/626,491	JAUSSI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Applicants' Rep Craig Thompson (Reg. No. 47,990).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2005
**Time:** 12:35 PM EDT
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Prior Art rejections with new interpretations of claim limitations*

Claims discussed:

42

Prior art documents discussed:

*U.S. Patent 4,797,586 (Traa: Fig. 1); and U.S. Patent 5,148,162 (Crosby: Fig. 1)*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The applicants' representative agreed to change claim 42 to ensure the recited limitations read over the delay related signals within the references discussed. See the Supplemental Examiner's Amendment for details.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)